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## UMC clergywomen numbers increase

By Erin Kane<br>Director of Research and Monitoring

GCSRW is happy to report that in 2011, the year of the most recent available data (from the General Council on Finance and Administration), The United Methodist Church in the United States has experienced a $13 \%$ growth in the number of women clergy, to 11,368 from 10,128 in 2006. The growth comes despite a net decline in overall clergy (men and women) of 53 people in the same time frame, a 0.1 percent decline.

## A third -- or more

The table to the right illustrates the profound gains of women clergy in the UMC. (The full table is available at the end of the article)

- In 2006, 22\% of annual conferences (13 out of 59[1]) could boast that women comprised $30 \%$ or more of clergy positions, only 2 of which could report at $33 \%$ or more.
- In 2011, 34\% of annual conferences (19 out of 56) reported that women comprised $30 \%$ or more of clergy positions, 10 of which

| Percent af Women Cleray 2006-2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual Conference | 2011 | Rank | Annual Conference | 2006 |
| New England | $38 \%$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | New England | $36 \%$ |
| Rocky Mountain | $37 \%$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | North Central New York | $33 \%$ |
| Peninsula-Delaware | $36 \%$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Wyoming | $32 \%$ |
| Nebraska | $35 \%$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | Kansas East | $32 \%$ |
| Kansas East | $34 \%$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Rocky Mountain | $31 \%$ |
| California-Nevada | $34 \%$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | Oklahoma Indian Missionary | $31 \%$ |
| Oregon-Idaho | $33 \%$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | Northern Illinois | $31 \%$ |
| Northern Illinois | $33 \%$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | California-Nevada | $31 \%$ |
| Upper New York | $33 \%$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Baltimore-Washington | $30 \%$ |
| Baltimore-Washington | $33 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Troy | $30 \%$ |
| Wisconsin | $32 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Greater New Jersey | $30 \%$ |
| North Texas | $32 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Western New York | $30 \%$ |
| Central Texas | $32 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Oregon-Idaho | $30 \%$ |
| Pacific Northwest | $32 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |
| Minnesota | $31 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |  |  |
| Southwest Texas | $31 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |  |  |
| New York | $30 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |  |  |
| Missouri | $30 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |  |  |
| West Michigan | $30 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |  |  | can brag of $33 \%$ or more.

## What affected the numbers?

1) Decline in local clergy membership

In 2006, GCFA reported a total of 44,979 clergy, and in 2011 it reported 44,926 clergy. The decline of clergy in the church nationwide was negligible for a five-year period ( $\sim 4650$ lost
overall and $\sim 4600$ added). However, some annual conferences experienced a concentrated portion of this shift. For example, Nebraska's clergy membership was reduced by $46 \%$, with a net loss of 220 people, only 38 of whom were women, thereby increasing the membership of clergywomen by $30 \%$, bringing them into the top
ranks. The clergy membership of the Oklahoma Indian Missionary Conference fell by $24 \%$, a net loss of 17 people, where women were lost at a rate of $32 \%$ and men at a rate of $22 \%$, which caused it to fall below the 30\% floor.

## 2) Merged conferences

From 2006 to 2011, several annual conferences split and/or merged with other nearby conferences. For example, in 2010, the front runner New England conference gained a part of the Troy conference, which contributed to its top rank in 2011. Meanwhile, the Wyoming and

> Paragraph 142 of the 2012 Book of Discipline defines clergy as 'individuals who serve as commissioned ministers, deacons, elders, and local pastors under appointment of a bishop (full- and part-time), who hold membership in an annual conference, and who are commissioned, ordained, or licensed."

North Central New York (who had the second and third highest percentages in 2006 respectively) contributed numbers to the newly formed Upper New York annual conference, placing it within the $33 \%$ or more range.


GCSRW would also like to highlight the five annual conferences that have most increased their clergywomen percentages from 2006 to 2011[2]

- Mississippi had a net gain of 67 women in their clergy membership, a $44 \%$ increase.
- Alabama-West Florida had a net gain of 36 clergywomen, a 39\% increase.
- Eastern Pennsylvania had a net gain of 53 clergywomen, a $35 \%$ increase.
- Kentucky had a net gain of 31 clergywomen, a $34 \%$ increase.
- Peninsula-Delaware had a net gain of 36 clergywomen, a $30 \%$ increase.


## The Big Picture

Women now make up $25 \%$ of the total U.S. clergy population due to the $13 \%$ increase, a net gain of 1,240 women. This is a significant advancement from the $11 \%$ clergywomen population in 1992 and $19 \%$ in 2002.


## Next Month

Next month, Women by the Numbers will examine sexual ethics attitudes among clergy. Clergy statistics will return in subsequent installments as we examine jurisdictions, elders/deacons, racialethnic backgrounds, and leadership positions such as district superintendents, DCMs, and treasurers.
[1] The numbers reported by the General Council on Finance and Administration for 2011 and 2006 were gathered from annual conferences who self-reported their data, during these years some conferences split and merged with other conferences, changing the total number of conferences calculated in this set to 56 from 59. Some conferences were not included in the calculations at all due to a lack of submitted data. As a result, overall totals may be inaccurate. For this reason, some conferences are not included in the lists that may have been otherwise. Conferences not included in the data set are: Alaska Missionary, Red Bird Missionary, and Rio Grande. [2] Nebraska was excluded from this list because of the default gain from the large loss of clergy membership.

| \% of Clersy Who are Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amnual Conference | 2011 | Rank | Amnual Conference | 2006 |
| New England | 38\% | 1 | New England | 36\% |
| Rocky Monntain | 37\% | 2 | North Central New York | 33\% |
| Peninsula-Delaware | 36\% | 3 | Wyoming | 32\% |
| Nebraska | 35\% | 4 | Kansas East | 32\% |
| Kansas East | 34\% | 5 | Rocky Mountain | 31\% |
| California-Nevada | 34\% | 6 | Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 31\% |
| Oregon-Idaho | 33\% | 7 | Northern Illinois | 31\% |
| Northern Illinois | 33\% | 8 | California-Nevada | 31\% |
| Upper New York | 33\% | 9 | Baltimore-Washington | 30\% |
| Baltimore-Washington | 33\% | 10 | Troy | 30\% |
| Wisconsin | 32\% | 11 | Greater New Jersey | 30\% |
| North Texas | 32\% | 12 | Western New York | 30\% |
| Central Texas | 32\% | 13 | Oregon-Idaho | 30\% |
| Pacific Northwest | 32\% | 14 | Wisconsin | 29\% |
| Minnesota | 31\% | 15 | Peninsula-Delaware | 29\% |
| Southwest Texas | 31\% | 16 | Central Texas | 28\% |
| New York | 30\% | 17 | Minnesota | 27\% |
| Missonri | 30\% | 18 | New York | 27\% |
| West Michigan | 30\% | 19 | Southwest Texas | 27\% |
| Kansas West | 29\% | 20 | Pacific Northwest | 27\% |
| Greater New Jersey | 29\% | 21 | West Michigan | 27\% |
| California-Pacific | 29\% | 22 | Nebraska | 27\% |
| Oklahoma Indian Missionary | 28\% | 23 | North Texas | 26\% |
| Detroit | 28\% | 24 | Kansas West | 26\% |
| Iowa | 28\% | 25 | Detroit | 25\% |
| Desert Sonthwest | 27\% | 26 | Missouri | 25\% |
| Susquahanna | 27\% | 27 | Iowa | 24\% |
| Eastern Pennsylvania | 27\% | 28 | Yellowstone | 24\% |
| Western North Carolina | 25\% | 29 | California-Pacific | 24\% |
| Yellowstone | 25\% | 30 | Lonisiana | 24\% |
| Texas | 25\% | 31 | North Georgia | 23\% |
| North Carolina | 25\% | 32 | West Ohio | 22\% |
| Lonisiana | 25\% | 33 | Dakotas | 22\% |
| Virginia | 24\% | 34 | North Carolina | 21\% |
| South Carolina | 24\% | 35 | Western North Carolina | 21\% |
| East Ohio | 23\% | 36 | Virginia | 21\% |
| West Ohio | 23\% | 37 | Texas | 21\% |
| New Mexico | 23\% | 38 | East Ohio | 21\% |
| West Virginia | 22\% | 39 | Eastern Pennsylvania | 20\% |
| North Georgia | 22\% | 40 | Illinois Great Rivers | 20\% |
| Dakotas | 22\% | 41 | South Indiana | 20\% |
| Illinois Great Rivers | 21\% | 42 | Central Pennsylvania | 20\% |
| Indiana | 21\% | 43 | Oklahoma | 20\% |
| Oklahoma | 21\% | 44 | West Virginia | 19\% |
| Florida | 21\% | 45 | North Alabama | 19\% |
| Western Pennsylvania | 20\% | 46 | Sonth Carolina | 19\% |
| Arkansas | 20\% | 47 | Tennessee | 18\% |
| Tennessee | 20\% | 48 | North Indiana | 18\% |
| Mississippi | 20\% | 49 | New Mexico | 18\% |
| Alabama-West Florida | 17\% | 50 | Florida | 18\% |
| Holston | 17\% | 51 | Arkansas | 18\% |
| Memphis | 16\% | 52 | Western Pennsylvania | 16\% |
| North Alabama | 15\% | 53 | Holston | 15\% |
| South Georgia | 15\% | 54 | Memphis | 15\% |
| Kentacky | 14\% | 55 | Mississippi | 14\% |
| Northwest Texas | 14\% | 56 | South Georgia | 13\% |
|  |  | 57 | Northwest Texas | 13\% |
|  |  | 58 | Alabama-West Florida | 13\% |
|  |  | 59 | Kentacky | 11\% |

